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| **Abstract**  *The report details the process of development and design implementation for the Droid Chess project coded by team SAWM. The end result of the project is an android application which allows the users to play a chess game.*  **1. Inception Phase**  **1.1 Requirements**  -The user should be able to play a chess game  -The available moves for any chess piece should be highlighted  -The user should be able to view a detailed set of instructions on how to move each piece  -The user should be notified when either king is in check  -The user should be able to specify whether or not certain rules variants should be allows  **1.2 User Stories**  **Chess Game**  The user will want to play a full chess game  **Splash Menu**  The user should be presented with a list of available actions (online play, offline play, instruction menu) upon loading the application  **Opposing Move Notification**  The user should be notified through system notifications when their opponent over an online game moves  **Random Pairing** The user should have the option to randomly pair with another user |  | **Checkmate Notify**  The users should be notified when either king is in check  **Points**  The users should be allowed to play a game with the standard chess points system  **Local Game**  The user should have the option of playing a local game on a single device  **Specific Pairing**  The user should be able to select a specific opponent when pairing for a new game  **Available Moves**  When the user selects a piece they should be presented with all available moves for that piece  **Game Timer**  The user should have the option to play a game with the standard chess timer system  **On Screen Tutorial**  The user should be able to view a list of specific rules about chess  **Rule Variants**  The user should be allowed to select which rule variants they want to allow in the game. |
| **Table 1. Time Estimates**   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | User Story | Individual Estimate | Team Estimate | Priority | | Chess | 12 | 10 | High | | Splash Menu | 1 | .5 | High | | Opposing Move Notification | .5 | .5 | High | | Random Pairing | 6 | 5 | Low | | Checkmate Notify | .5 | 1 | Middle | | Points | .5 | 1 | Low | | Local Game | 3 | 1 | High | | Specific Pairing | 4 | 5 | Low | | Available Moves | 2 | .5 | High | | Game Timer | .25 | .5 | Low | | On Screen Tutorial | 1 | 2 | Middle | | Rule Variants | .25 | .5 | Middle | |  | 31 | 27.50 |  |     **1.3 Iteration Plan**  **Iteration 1**  - Complete UML Design  - Code board layout and chess rules  - Code Local Game  - Code available Moves  - Code Checkmate notification  - Build Howto  **Iteration 2**  -Implement Online Play  -Implement Random Pairing  -Implement Specific Pairing  -Implement Online Move Notification |  | **2.** **UML** **Design**  All UML diagrams are attached at the end of the report.  **3. Use of Program**  **3.1 Git**  All of the code for our project is available through Git. Our Git repository can be found at: <https://github.com/sawm/droid-chess>.  **3.2 Android**  To run the code a device running Android is required. The code is optimized for Android 4.2 (API level 17), but it will run on any device 3.2 (API level 13) or higher. To run the application on your Android device you will simply have to install the .apk file.  **4. Development**  The development was initially split into two iterations. The first iteration was essentially just to get the game of chess up and running. The second iteration was to add in the online play. Unfortunately, due to time constraints only the first iteration was successfully accomplished.  The biggest factor in the length of the first iteration was a lack of knowledge on the technologies used. None of the members of the group had had any previous experience working for mobile devices, let alone the Android API. It took the team quite a while before we were completely comfortable working in the Android environment. We encountered a lot of problems initially since each of us had Android devices running on different API levels. This caused issues with deprecated function calls, and other features supported on one device but not on the others.  At one point in the first iteration it was deemed necessary to refactor nearly the whole project. The code was a mess, and did not follow any well structured object oriented design principals. We had been using Android’s ImageView class for each of our game pieces. This was the only way to implement images in Android. We had been using that class and utilizing its description variable to handle all the specific data on each piece. The data was all stored in a string that had to be parsed. This quickly made our |
| code very difficult to work with. We refactored the entire project. We made an abstract class called Piece which extended the ImageView class. This class has an abstract function called getMoves() so each extension of Piece could implement its own logic in determining available moves. This cleaned up the code a tremendous amount. Before we had to parse the description on the ImageView to get the game piece type. Then it went to a giant switch statement that determined the logic in getting the pieces available moves. After the refactoring, the on click event for getting moves was cut down from several hundred lines to less than ten. Our programming went much, much smoother after the refactoring process. Perhaps if we would have properly decided how to structure our program sooner we could have gotten closer to meeting our goals in the second iteration.  We extended the ImageView class for another important aspect of our project. The chessboard is made up of an array of Square objects. The Square class extends the ImageView class. The purpose of the Square class is to return all information about the position on the board such as whether or not a game piece is currently residing on it and if the position is a current available move. The getMoves() method in the Piece class requires the array of Squares as an input. This is the only sort of coupling between the Piece class and the Square class. As long as the Square class outputs the same data, no changes in the Square class will affect the performance of the Piece class.  All of the other classes utilized were from the Android API. The entire structure of the application is based on the core Android classes. So, there was not much work in creating the basics for getting the application running as Android had taken care of all of it for us.  We utilized the Eclipse IDE because of its support for Android development. With the Android Development Kit for Eclipse we were able to create a new completely runnable Android project by the click of a button.  Even if we did not have the early delays in learning |  | Android and the delays in refactoring, this project still seems pretty ambitious for two people to accomplish in the given time period. If SAWM were a group of four people instead of two we probably could have gotten some sort of online play implemented. |